



A NATIONAL BESTSELLER

**WE KEEP THE
DEAD CLOSE**

A MURDER AT
HARVARD AND A
HALF CENTURY
OF SILENCE

BECKY COOPER

“A TOUR DE FORCE OF INVESTIGATIVE REPORTING.” —RON CHERNOW

BOOK CLUB KIT

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

1. Not all murders become myths. Why do you think Jane's did?
2. This book is as much about investigating a crime as it is about who has the right to reconstruct the past and call it history. How does Becky navigate this question, and what parallels are there with the work of anthropologists and archaeologists?
3. How were the reverberations of the larger world felt at Harvard and Radcliffe in the '60s? In what ways have the institutions changed—or not—since Jane's time?
4. The most consistent thread in the book is Becky's obsession with Jane. In what ways do Becky's boundaries start to blur with Jane's? Is this a necessary part of writing a biography or a sign of getting too close to one's subject? Or is it something else entirely?
5. Silence is a recurring theme throughout book—from Jane's refusal to scream to the police blackout. As Professor Kimberly Theidon asks, how do you interpret silence?
6. How should the investigative work of authorities—as well as the privacy of victims and their families—be balanced against the public's right to know?
7. What level of responsibility do police departments have to devote resources to solving cold cases?
8. In what ways does Jane's story act as an allegory, and how does that tale differ with Jane's agency or victimhood?

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

9. Elisabeth Handler has the sense that Jane “needed” her stories, and Karl is described repeatedly as a great storyteller. How do you think the two benefited from their storytelling? How did those benefits diverge?

10. As Iva Houston tells Becky, “It’s hard to admit you belong to the world you’re studying.” What does Iva mean by that? Are there ways in which Becky and the other people in the book fall short? What blind spots might you have had as you interpreted this story?

11. What is the value in disentangling fact from fiction in Jane’s case? In what ways are both valuable?

12. How did your intuition about who murdered Jane change as you progressed through the book? Was the police’s conclusion about the murderer the resolution you were expecting? If not, did it alter your experience of the rest of the book? How?

13. Becky asks herself if it is ever justifiable to trap someone in a story that robs them of their truth but voices someone else’s. What do you think? In what way are Karl LambergKarlovsky, Mike Gramly, and Lee Parsons trapped inside stories? In what way are the storytellers themselves trapped? What is the cost of this entrapment?

14. Jane, in her closing journal entry, hopes she’ll be remembered for how she was, instead of how she was seen. Was Becky able to fulfill this wish for Jane? Is such a thing even possible?

READ AN INTERVIEW WITH THE AUTHOR



VOGUE
An Interview With the Author of *We Keep the Dead Close*, a Captivating True-Crime Novel About a 1969 Murder at Harvard

GLAMOUR Style Beauty Entertainment Wellness Culture More [Sign In](#) [Newsletter](#)

Culture

Becky Cooper Heard a Rumor About a Murder at Harvard. She Spent a Decade Searching for the Truth